

# **TSP future, what's next?**

Eric NOULARD ONERA/DTIM eric.noulard@onera.fr www.onera.fr



THE FRENCH AEROSPACE LAB

retour sur innovation

#### **ONERA DTIM Context Distributed System Engineering Team**

- We work on distributed software technology
  - for fundamental research purpose formal analysis of distributed applications and systems
  - for applications using distributed simulation standard like HLA
  - for embedded and real time application for ours clients or partners
  - in partnership with other ONERA teams on safety, systems of systems design
- We develop Open Source Software as research enabling technology
  - CERTI : https://savannah.nongnu.org/projects/certi

http://www.cert.fr/CERTI/



TSP : https://savannah.nongnu.org/projects/tsp
http://www.ts2p.org/tsp



of Partories administration in

#### **TSP future features ... or not?**



# **Time & Synchronization (1/2)**

- Distributed Components needs to synchronize
  - in order to ensure distributed simulation causality (see HLA Time Service)
  - to be able to correlate distributed observation (multiple TSP providers)
- The TSP (initial) point of view
  - time & synchronization are not TSP concerns
  - global time may be transported as a TSP Symbol which as special application specific meaning
  - TSP sample time stamp is ill-named it is only a TSP sample sequence verifier

## **Time & Synchronization (2/2)**

- We may convey the time in a dedicated special TSP Group
  - See « TSP Group Explained » document
  - What format for this TSP time?
  - But how do we synchronize the TSP time?
- We may integrate with synchronization protocol
  - IEEE 1588 PTP (Precision Time Protocol)
  - IRIG signal
  - GPS signal
  - HLA Time Service
  - Any other application specific mean?



# **Quality of Service (1/2)**

- QoS handling is not specified by TSP
  - Each Provider should decide to accept or refuse connection (today's hard coded limit = 100 TSP Sessions)
  - Each Consumer should check whether if can handle what he asked for (may easily flood its network capacity)
- TSP is already robust since
  - Provider drops connection if a consumer does not consume at the requested pace
  - Consumer gets TSP\_RESERVED\_GROUP\_CONSUMER\_DATA\_LOST if ever it is too slow
  - Provider garbage collect session for broken connection (socket)
- We may add built in support for bandwidth control
  - we have all what is needed to compute user consumed bandwidth

# **Quality of Service (2/2)**

#### Secure data link needed or not?

- We may/should improve TSP session authentication
- We may/should use SSL socket
- Your opinion?
- Which solution?



- End to End real time control using the synchronization protocol?
  - Today TSP relies on the provider to ensure sampled data are coherent and consumer gets a lossless stream of sample
  - Should we try add time control from consumer to provider?

#### **TSP** as a Middleware

# TSP shares many publish/subscribe principles with other protocols

- OMG DDS (was needed after CORBA)
  - Should we build TSP over DDS?
  - Or try to upgrade TSP to become DDS-like?
- MPI
  - Include communication topology (Communicator)
  - Mostly statically computed communication pattern
- Other proprietary Data oriented Exchange protocol

We should further use the fact that we precisely know the (user) bandwidth of a TSP sample connection for implementing TSP over a protocol that fully support QoS and bandwidth reservation

#### Conclusion

# Let's Talk and share ideas & keyboard







